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## COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective and Caring Service

Mr. Lou LeBlanc, City Engineer City of Rosemead 8838 East Valley Boulevard Rosemead, CA 91770

Attention Mr. Rafael Fajardo
Dear Mr. LeBLanc:

## CONSOLIDATED SEWER MAINTENANCE DISTRICT CONDITION ASSESSMENT PROGRAM REPORTS PROJECTS Y0TV0708E AND Y0TV0809A

This letter is to inform you that as part of the Sewer Condition Assessment Program, the County of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works Consolidated Sewer Maintenance District has completed the closed-circuit television inspection of 415,428 feet or 100 percent of the City of Rosemead's sewer system as part of two inspection projects titled Y0TV0708E and Y0TV0809A.

The enclosed reports for each of the respective inspection projects outlines the structural and maintenance ratings of your system based on the Pipeline Assessment and Certification Program's rating methodology. Included in the reports are the following:

- Condition Assessment Report
- Project Overview Map (Enclosure 1)
- Quick Maintenance Rating Report Priority List and Quick Maintenance Rating Map (Enclosure 2)
- Quick Structural Rating Report Priority List and Quick Structural Rating Map (Enclosure 3)
- Infrastructure Inspection Reports for Grades 4 and 5 (Enclosure 4)

Mr. Lou LeBlanc
June 24, 2009
Page 2

The Condition Assessment Report provides you with details regarding the maintenance and structural condition of your City's sewer mainlines. This information can be included in your agency's Sewer System Management Plan as a reference in Chapter 8.0 System Evaluation and Capacity Assurance Plan. The segments with critical maintenance issues have been cleaned and, in some instances, incorporated into our routine cleaning schedule. In addition, the segments with severe structural defects have either been repaired or will be scheduled for repair as part of the ongoing Accumulative Capital Outlay Project.

If you have any questions regarding this report or the Condition Assessment Program, please contact Mr. Robert Swartz at (626) 300-3367, Monday through Friday, 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., or at rswartz@dpw.lacounty.gov.

Very truly yours,
GAIL FARBER
Director of Public Works


KE:gy
1703
Enc.

# CONDITION ASSESSMENT REPORT <br> COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS CONSOLIDATED SEWER MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 

100\% SUMMARY

| SUP. DISTRICT(s): | 1 | MAP PAGE(S): | T.G. 636,596 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report By: | Kari Eskridge | Report Date: | $06 / 22 / 09$ |

## INTRODUCTION

As part of the Sewer Condition Assessment Program, the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works Consolidated Sewer Maintenance District has completed the closed-circuit television inspection (CCTV) of 415,428 feet or 100 percent of the City of Rosemead's sewer system.

The CCTV inspection in the City of Rosemead was completed during two projects Y0TV0708E and Y0TV0809A. Enclosed for your reference are the Y0TV0708E and Y0TV0809A reports.

## SUMMARY MAINTENANCE REPORT

Our inspection revealed that approximately 96.6 percent of the system televised was free of blockages or restrictions that would impede sewer flows. However, the remaining 3.4 percent of the inspected pipe segments within the City of Rosemead area had a PACP maintenance grade of 4 or 5 as indicated in the Quick Maintenance Rating Table shown below. These segments have been incorporated into our routine cleaning schedule with corrective action taken as noted in the attached reports.

## QUICK MAINTENANCE RATING TABLE

| DEFECT GRADE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PIPE } \\ & \text { LENGTH } \\ & \text { (FT) } \end{aligned}$ | PERCENT TOTAL INSPECTED PIPE LENGTH | NO. SEGMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: Excellent | 51,186 | 12.3\% | 240 |
| 2: Good | 332,682 | 80.1\% | 1,369 |
| 3: Fair | 17,288 | 4.2\% | 79 |
| 4: Poor | 13,355 | 3.2\% | 52 |
| 5: Immediate |  |  |  |
| Attention | 917 | 0.2\% | 4 |
| TOTAL | 415,428 | 100\% | 1,744 |

## SUMMARY STRUCTURAL REPORT

Our inspection revealed that approximately 96.4 percent of the inspected pipe segments within the City of Rosemead were free of severe structural defects. However, the remaining 3.6 percent of the inspected pipe segments had a PACP structural grade of 4 or 5 as indicated in the Quick Structural Rating Table shown below. These segments have been placed on a priority list based on the severity and the need for action. The priority lists for these items are located in the attached reports.

QUICK STRUCTURAL RATING TABLE

| DEFECT GRADE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PIPE } \\ & \text { LENGTH } \\ & \text { (FT) } \end{aligned}$ | PERCENT TOTAL INSPECTED PIPE LENGTH | NO. SEGMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: Excellent | 286,592 | 69.0\% | 1,281 |
| 2: Good | 52,516 | 12.6\% | 169 |
| 3: Fair | 61,224 | 14.7\% | 232 |
| 4: Poor | 7,529 | 1.8\% | 29 |
| 5: Immediate |  |  |  |
| Attention | 7,567 | 1.8\% | 33 |
| TOTAL | 415,428 | 100\% | 1,744 |

# CONDITION ASSESSMENT REPORT COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS CONSOLIDATED SEWER MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 

| PROJECT NAME: | YOTV0809A | PROJECT MGR: | Kari Eskridge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT DATE: | August 2008 | CONTACT NO: | (626) 300-3390 |
| SUP. DISTRICT(s): | 1 | MAP PAGE(S): | T.G. 636,596 |
| Report By: | Kari Eskridge | Report Date: | $05 / 27 / 2009$ |

## INTRODUCTION

As part of the Sewer Condition Assessment Program, the County of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works Consolidated Sewer Maintenance District has completed the closed-circuit television inspection of 56,437 feet or approximately 13.6 percent of the City of Rosemead's sewer system.

The Sewer Condition Assessment Program utilizes the Pipeline Assessment and Certification Program (PACP) rating methodology to rank the structural and maintenance ratings of your system based on industry standards.

## PROJECT AREA AND DESCRIPTION

Project Y0TV0809A included sewer lines located in the Unincorporated County of Los Angeles area and the Cities of Temple City, Baldwin Park, and Rosemead. Enclosed for your reference is an overview map of the project area in your City (Enclosure 1).

## DEFECT GRADE DESCRIPTION

The Quick Rating indicates the number of occurrences for the highest severity grade for each pipe segment for either maintenance or infrastructure defects. A grade of 1 indicates that a pipe segment is in excellent condition with minor defects and failure is unlikely in the foreseeable future, while a grade of 5 indicates that a pipe segment requires immediate attention and that the pipe has either failed or will likely fail within the next five years. A detailed breakdown of the five possible defect grades is as follows:

| Grade | Description | Estimate time to Failure |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 :}$ | EXCELLENT: Minor Defects. | Unlikely in the foreseeable future |
| $\mathbf{2 :}$ | GOOD: Defects that have not begun to deteriorate. | 20 years or more |
| $3:$ | FAIR: Moderate defects that will continue to <br> deteriorate. | 10 to 20 years |
| $4:$ | POOR: Severe defects that will become grade 5 <br> defects within the foreseeable future. | 5 to 10 years |
| $5:$ | IMMEDIATE ATTENTION: Defects requiring <br> immediate attention. | Has failed or will likely fail within the <br> next 5 years |

## MAINTENANCE REPORT

Our inspection revealed that approximately 94.9 percent of the system televised was free of blockages or restrictions that would impede sewer flows. However, the remaining 5.1 percent of the inspected pipe segments within the City of Rosemead area had a PACP maintenance grade of 4 or 5 as indicated in the Quick Maintenance Rating Table shown below. These segments have been incorporated into our routine cleaning schedule with corrective action taken as noted on the Quick Maintenance Rating Report Priority List (Enclosure 2). Also included is a color-coded map showing the quick maintenance rating for each pipe segment.

## QUICK MAINTENANCE RATING TABLE

| DEFECT <br> GRADE | PIPE <br> LENGTH <br> $($ FT) | PERCENT TOTAL <br> INSPECTED PIPE <br> LENGTH | NO. SEGMENTS |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1: Excellent | 46,238 | 81.8 | 219 |
| 2: Good | 2,816 | 5.0 | 13 |
| 3: Fair | 4,555 | 8.1 | 23 |
| 4: Poor | 2,906 | 5.1 | 11 |
| 5: Immediate | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Attention | 0 | 100.0 | 266 |
| TOTAL | 56,515 |  |  |

## STRUCTURAL REPORT

Our inspection revealed that approximately 97 percent of the inspected pipe segments within the City of Rosemead were free of severe structural defects. However, the remaining 3 percent of the inspected pipe segments had a PACP structural grade of 4 or 5 as indicated in the Quick Structural Rating Table shown below. These segments have been placed on a priority list based on the severity and the need for action. For Items 1 through 10 in the Quick Structural Rating Report Priority List (Enclosure 3) which require a corrective action, the proposed corrective method will be scheduled in the next 24 months as part of the ongoing Accumulative Capital Outlay Project. A colorcoded map showing the Quick Structural Rating for each pipe segment is located in Enclosure 3. Copies of the actual inspection reports for these 10 segments are included in Enclosure 4.

## QUICK STRUCTURAL RATING TABLE

| DEFECT <br> GRADE | PIPE <br> LENGTH <br> (FT) | PERCENT TOTAL <br> INSPECTED PIPE <br> LENGTH | NO. SEGMENTS |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1: Excellent | 41,312 | 73.1 | 205 |
| 2: Good | 7,716 | 13.7 | 31 |
| 3: Fair | 5,792 | 10.2 | 20 |
| 4: Poor | 613 | 1.1 | 2 |
| 5: Immediate | 1,082 | 1.9 | 8 |
| Attention | TOTAL | 56,515 | 100.0 |

## FUTURE PROJECTS

The Sewer Condition Assessment Program has performed closed-circuit television inspection of the sewer lines within the City of Rosemead according to the following schedule:

| FISCAL <br> YEAR | PROJECT <br> NAME | LENGTH (FT) | PERCENTAGE <br> OF SYSTEM | STATUS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007-2008$ | YOTV0708E | 358,913 | 86.4 | COMPLETED |
| $2008-2009$ | YOTV0809A | 56,515 | 13.6 | COMPLETED |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{4 1 5 , 4 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

## ENCLOSURE 1:

## Project Overview Map



## ENCLOSURE 2:

- Quick Maintenance Rating Report Priority List - Quick Maintenance Rating Map

QUICK MAINTENANCE RATING REPORT PRIORITY LIST
CITY OF ROSEMEAD (YOTV0809A)

| ITEM NO. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SECTION } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | QMR | START MH | END MH | STREET | OBSERVATION | DATE MAINTAINED | EQUIPMENT USED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 846 | 4100 | 2020-0273 | 2020-0644 | ANGELUS | DEPOSITS - OTHER | 03/24/2009 | Rodder |
| 2 | 266 | 4100 | 2020-0721 | 2020-0720 | EASEMEN | OBSTACLE | 03/24/2009 | Rodder |
| 3 | 299 | 4100 | 2020-0754 | 2020-0160 | EASEMEN | ROOTS - LATERAL BALL | 03/24/2009 | Rodder |
| 4 | 1116 | 4112 | 2021-0103 | 2021-0101 | VILLAGE | INFILTRATION RUNNER | 03/24/2009 | Rodder |
| 5 | 771 | 4112 | 2020-0243 | 2020-0244 | EARLE AY | ROOTS - BALL \& ROOTS -FINE | 03/24/2009 | Hydro |
| 6 | 280 | 4131 | 2020-0174 | 2020-0175 | EASEMEI | OBJECT - PROTRUDING \& ROOTS - LATERAL FINE \& ROOTS - LATERAL MEDIUN | 03/25/2009 | Hand Rodded |
| 7 | 297 | 4131 | 2020-0158 | 2020-0159 | EASEMEN | ROOTS -FINE \& ROOTS - LATERAL MEDIUM \& ROOTS - LATERAL BALL | 03/27/2009 | Rodder |
| 8 | 252 | 4132 | 2020-0170 | 2020-0171 | EASEMEN | ROOTS -FINE \& ROOTS - LATERAL MEDIUM \& ROOTS - LATERAL BALL | 03/25/2009 | Hydro |
| 9 | 248 | 4231 | 2020-0166 | 2020-0167 | EASEMEN | ROOTS - MEDIUM \& ROOTS -FINE \& ROOTS - LATERAL BALL | 03/24/2009 | Rodder |
| 10 | 249 | 4233 | 2020-0165 | 2020-0166 | EASEMEI | ROOTS -FINE \& ROOTS - LATERAL MEDIUM \& ROOTS - LATERAL BALL | 03/25/2009 | Hydro |
| 11 | 253 | 4332 | 2020-0169 | 2020-0170 | EASEMEN | DEPOSITS - GREASE \& ROOTS - TAP \& ROOTS - LATERAL MEDIUM | 03/25/2009 | Hydro |




## ENCLOSURE 3:

## - Quick Structural Rating Report Priority List - Quick Structural Rating Map

## ENCLOSURE 3

## QUICK STRUCTURAL RATING REPORT PRIORITY LIST

CITY ROSEMEAD (YOTV0809A)
as of $01 / 23 / 09$

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ITEM } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | QSR | START MH | END MH | STREET | OBSERVATION: LOCATION | PROPOSED CORRECTIVE ACTION | LENGTH OF REPAIR (FT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5200 | 2020-0190 | 2020-0189 | FERN AV | Hole (patched): 51', 68' | Structural integrity in tact. No action needed. |  |
| 2 | 5111 | 2020-0151 | 2020-0152 | FERN AV | Broken with gasket visible: 115 | Structural integrity in tact. No action needed. |  |
| 3 | 5121 | 2020-0187 | 2020-0186 | ALLEY W/O WALNUT GROVE AV | Broken with soil visible (inside lateral): 191' | Lateral Connection Lining |  |
| 4 | 5131 | 2020-0184 | 2020-0183 | ALLEY W/O WALNUT GROVE AV | Broken with soil visible (inside lateral): 70', 189', 259 | Lateral Connection Lining |  |
| 5 | 511A | 2020-0183 | 2020-0182 | KLINGERMAN ST | Hole with void visible: 175 | Lining: MH to MH | 338 |
| 6 | 5123 | 2020-0190 | 2020-0191 | FERN AV | Hole with void visible: 212' | Structural integrity in tact. No action needed. |  |
| 7 | 5131 | 2020-0277 | 2020-0276 | SAN GABRIEL BV | Broken with soil visible (inside lateral): $16^{\prime}$ | Lateral Connection Lining |  |
| 8 | 5122 | 2020-0175 | 2020-0174 | EASEMENT E/O PALJAY AV | Hole (intrusion of pipe / grounding rod): 261' | Point Repair |  |
| 9 | 4121 | 2020-0225 | 2020-0226 | WILLARD AV | Fracture Multiple: 302' | Lining: MH to MH | 329 |
| 10 | 4100 | 2021-0093 | 2021-0091 | VILLAGE LN | Fracture Multiple: 198' | Lining: MH to MH | 267 |




ENCLOSURE 3 cctv project

YOTV0809A
City of Rosemead

Quick Structural Rating


## Legend

SMD Manholes

- Other

ROSMEAD MH

- STD
- Shallow
$\otimes$ SIPHON
P SMD Pump Satations
WTP SMD Treatment Plant
SMD Sanitary Sewers
- OTHER

QSR

- 1: EXCELLENT
- 2 2: GOOD
- 4: POOR
- 5: IMMEDIATE ATTENTION

Maintained by Others
—Trunk Sewerines
--- Non SMD Sanitary Sewers

- Trunk MH
- Non SMD MH
- SMD Operations Maps
- CCTV PROJECT AREA

This map is intended only for internal operations on
Los Angeles County sewer Maintenance Districts.
.
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## ENCLOSURE 4:

## Infrastructure Inspection Reports for Grades 4 and 5
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